WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Cesar Herrera-Nunez	Case Number: 16-7097MJ
Defendant was present and was represented	J.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held. by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of disorder the detention of the defendant pending
I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:	S OF FACT
permanent residence.	of the United States or lawfully admitted for se charged offense, was in the United States
illegally. □ The defendant has no significant o	contacts in the United States or in the District of
Arizona. The defendant has no resources make a bond reasonably calculate	in the United States from which he/she might do assure his/her future appearance.
□ I he defendant lives/works in Mexit	ed to assure his/her future appearance. history. co.
 ☐ The defendant is an amnesty appl the United States and has substar ☐ There is a record of the defendant 	icant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in tial family ties to Mexico.
The defendant attempted to evad enforcement.	using numerous aliases. e law enforcement contact by fleeing from law
The defendant is facing a maxim imprisonment.	um of years
·	the material findings in the Pretrial Services he time of the hearing in this matter, except as

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
- 2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 22nd day of February, 2016.

Honorable John Z. Boyle United States Magistrate Judge